

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/870,496 | 06/01/2001 | Tetsuya Nakashima | 209128US0 | 8803 |
| 22850 | 7590 09/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A | |
| | RIA, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , | | 1755 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/870,496 | NAKASHIMA ET AL. | | | | |
| Autiony Aution | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Elizabeth A. Bolden | 1755 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the period o | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE | g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension | | | | |
| fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) and the feet of the feet o | the shortened statutory period for reply one case than three months after the mail | originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5,6,8,9,11,13-16 and 25-27. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-23. | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | KARL GROUP PRIMARY FYAMINER | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) GROUP 1755

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The incorporation of both claims 8 and 25 into to claim 1 constitutes new mater, which would require further search and consideration. Additionally, the increased lower limit for TiO2 would require further search and consideration.